

Calvert County Comprehensive Plan Update

Fostering Vibrant, Walkable Communities with Multi-Generational Opportunities

March 27, 2017 Workshop

Summary of the Meeting Evaluations

Number of respondents: 8

How the respondents learned about the meeting (some respondents chose more than one):

News media	County webpage	County Facebook page	County Newsflash	Email	Radio	Television	Phone notification	Other
2	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	-Past Meetings -Commissioner's Newsletter

How the respondents responded to each statement:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	No Answer
1. Objectives were clearly stated and met.	0	2	5	1	0
2. Meeting content was presented clearly.	0	5	3	0	0
3. The meeting format allowed me to express my opinions and preferences adequately.	1	7	0	0	0
4. Sufficient time was provided for discussion of the issues.	1	3.5	2.5	1	0
5. The facility was satisfactory.	3	4	1	0	0
6. The issue paper was clearly written and provided sufficient background.	0	2.5	3.5	0	2

Summary of specific responses:

Question 1. Those who disagreed with the first statement tended to note that they did not see the multi-generational aspect of the workshop title integrated into the workshop.

Question 2. Some respondents noted that they were unfamiliar with some of the terms. They suggested having a PowerPoint with terms and definitions on in the background during the discussion.

Question 3. Many responded positively to this statement. Many noted that the community involvement and ability to discuss these topics was one of their favorite aspects of the workshop.

Question 4. Many of the respondents noted that they appreciated the extra time allowed for discussion. Those who had not before attended a meeting requested additional time for the discussions.

Question 6. Some respondents thought that the issue paper should have more clearly defined headings, including the section on open-ended questions. Additionally, a respondent asked if the map could include not just the Town Centers, but also the proposed Villages, Hamlets, etc.

Best part of the meeting:

Most of the respondents noted community involvement and the open discussion format as their favorite parts of the meeting.

Suggestions for improvement:

- A few respondents suggested that these meetings be held at each of the current Town Centers so that the residents who would be directly affected by the proposed changes could become more easily involved.
- Some suggested there be a greater outreach to the millennial generation.
- Some suggested there be a glossary at the end of each issue paper that defines terms relevant to the topic. It was also suggested that those terms and definitions be readily accessible during the discussions, such as on a PowerPoint.
- The other main suggestion was to update the portion of the presentation relating to group opinions on what designation Dunkirk should have.